

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,832	03/26/2004	Seishi Kasai	Q80751	7954	
23373	7590 02/27/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			AN, SANG WOOK		
SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENUE, I	N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		1732		

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/809,832	KASAI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sang W. An	1732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	•		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  sply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 i	December 2005.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	lar alastian requirement				
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	•			
י ייי	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John P 10-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	•	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•	received in this National Stage			
* 4	application from the International Bures	· · · · · ·				
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	at of the certified copies not t	eceivea.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) 🔲 Notice of In	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/26/2004</u> .	6)  Other:	_·			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-10 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brodkin et al (US 6322728).

Regarding claim 1, Brodkin et al teach a process of producing a three-dimensionally shaped object (abstract) comprising a layer forming step of forming a powder material having a refractive index  $n_1$  into a layer having a prescribed thickness on a support (col 3 lines 63-67 & col 4 lines 1-12), a cross-sectional shape forming step of binding the layer of the powder material formed in the foregoing step into a prescribed cross-sectional shape with a binding agent having a refractive index  $n_2$  (col 4 lines 29-34); and repeating these steps successively, to produce said three-dimensionally shaped object (col 4 lines 38-39), wherein  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  satisfy the relationship of  $-0.1 \le (n_1 - n_2) \le 0.1$  (col 8 lines 13-23).

Regarding claim 2, Brodkin et al teach a process of producing a three-dimensionally shaped object (abstract) comprising: a layer forming step of forming a powder material having a refractive index n<sub>1</sub> into a layer having a prescribed thickness (col 3 lines 63-67 & col 4 lines 1-12); a cross-sectional shape forming step of feeding an ultraviolet (UV) curable binder in a cross-sectional shape into the powder material layer

Art Unit: 1732

formed in the foregoing step and irradiating UV rays to cure the binder (col 6 lines 52-67), thereby forming a bound body of the powder material in the cross-sectional shape corresponding to a cut surface of a subject to be shaped cut at a certain one plane with a binding agent having a refractive index  $n_2$  after the curing (col 4 lines 29-34); and repeating these steps successively, thereby successively laminating and forming the bound body of the powder material corresponding to a cut surface of the subject to be shaped cut at a plurality of planes (col 4 lines 38-39), wherein  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  satisfy the relationship of  $-0.1 \le (n_1 - n_2) \le 0.1$  (col 8 lines 13-23).

Regarding claim 3, Brodkin et al teach a process of producing a three-dimensionally shaped object comprising (abstract): a layer forming step of forming a powder material into a layer having a prescribed thickness (col 3 lines 63-67 & col 4 lines 1-12); a cross-sectional shape forming step of feeding a UV curable binder in a cross-sectional shape into the powder material layer formed in the foregoing step (col 6 lines 52-67), thereby forming a bound body of the powder material in the cross-sectional shape corresponding to a cut surface of a subject to be shaped with a binding agent formed by curing the binder upon irradiation with UV rays (col 4 lines 29-34); and repeating these steps successively, thereby successively laminating and forming the bound body of the powder material corresponding to a cut surface of the subject to be shaped cut at a plurality of planes (col 4 lines 38-39), wherein, a volatile component of the UV curable binder after the curing with UV rays is not more than 5 % by weight (col 5 lines 62-64).

Art Unit: 1732

Regarding claim 4, Brodkin et al teach that the powder material is a cured material of the UV curable binder to be used for binding (col 5 lines 47-57).

Regarding claim 5, Brodkin et al teach that the powder material is magnesium hydroxide, silica gel, or aluminum hydroxide (col 5 lines 41-43).

Regarding claim 6, Brodkin et al teach that a volatile component of the UV curable binder after the curing with UV rays is not more than 5 % by weight (col 5 lines 62-64).

Regarding claim 7, Brodkin et al teach that the magnesium hydroxide, silica gel or aluminum hydroxide in claim 5 has a mean particle size of from 0.1 to 1,000 micron (col 7 lines 53-55).

Regarding claim 8, Brodkin et al teach that the UV curable binder contains at least one kind of polyfunctional acrylate or methacrylate monomers (col 6 line 33).

Regarding claim 9, Brodkin et al teach that at least one kind of the polyfunctional acrylate or methacrylate monomers accounts for from 20 % by weight to 90 % by weight of the total UV curable binder (col 7 lines 36-40).

Regarding claim 10, Brodkin et al teach that the UV curable binder contains not more than 70 % by weight of an additive for viscosity modification (col 7 line 33, examiner notes that fillers inherently change the viscosity of a matrix).

Regarding claim 12, Brodkin et al teach that the UV curable binder contains one or more colorants of yellow (Y), magenta (M), cyan (C) and white (W) (col 5 lines 58-62).

Application/Control Number: 10/809,832 Page 5

Art Unit: 1732

Regarding claim 13, Brodkin et al teach that the colorant contains at least one kind of dyes or pigments (col 5 lines 58-62).

Regarding claim 14, Brodkin et al teach that the UV curable binder has a viscosity of from 1 to 30 mpa·s (col 5 lines 4-8).

Regarding claim 15, Brodkin et al teach that a feed measure of the UV curable binder into the powder material is an inkjet mode (col 4 lines 29-34).

Regarding claim 16, Brodkin et al teach that the powder material is a fine powder having a mean particle size of from 0.1 to 1,000 micron (col 7 lines 53-55).

Regarding claim 17, Brodkin et al teach that the powder material is a fine powder having a mean particle size of from 1 to 50 micron (col 7 lines 53-55).

Regarding claims 18-20, Brodkin et al teach that the UV curable binder is solvent free (col 8 lines 57-59).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,832 Page 6

Art Unit: 1732

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brodkin et al (US 6322728) in view of Maitland (UV Printing/UV Chemistries). Brodkin et al teach everything in claim 2 and also teach incorporating photopolymerization initiator having sensitivity to UV rays of from 450 to 250 nm (col 6 lines 61-67). However Brodkin et al does not explicitly teach that the binder content is 0.05% by weight to 10% by weight of the initiator. Nevertheless, Maitland does teach initiator concentration of 2% to 5% (pg 3 "UV Formulation"). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use Maitland's teaching in Brodkin's process of producing a three-dimensionally shaped object in order to reduce cost and prevent yellowing of the product.

# Response to Argument

Applicant argues that the examiner is not giving any patentable weight to the "preamble" of the rejected claims. This argument with the amended claim 1 has been fully and carefully considered and has been found to be persuasive. The examiner has withdrawn the 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang W. An whose telephone number is (571) 272-1997. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/809,832 Page 7

Art Unit: 1732

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sang Wook An Patent Examiner Art Unit 1732 February 13, 2006

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

pluhal P. al-